

LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Name: Kigyende Mitooma District

(Vote Code: 893)

Assessment Scores

LLG Performance Assessment 58%

273653 Kigyende	LLG Performance Assessment			
No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
A. Func	tionality of Parish Ad	ministrative Structures		
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	PDM guidelines were obtained • List of PDCs members for Kanyabwanga Parish were obtained • Minutes of Kanyabwanga parish PDCs/WDCs obtained dated 18/9/2023 • List of proposals submitted for the revolving funds were obtained • field mobilization was done as evidenced by attendance lists	2
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines. Maximum score is 2	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	Parish data for the previous FY not obtained	0
3	The LLG provided	Evidence that the LLG:	the list of mapped NGOs, CBOs and CSO	0

i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating

in the LLG and involved them in raising

Committees and PDCs awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0

were not obtained

guidance and

parish

information to the

Village Executive

on strategies for the development of the

The LLG conducted
Annual Planning and
Budgeting exercise for
the current FY as per
the Planning and
Budgeting Guidelines

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0

The sub county did not organize the Budget Conference meeting

4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0	completion of the 2 office room page 7 of the current budget	1	
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0	No project profiles produced	0	
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0	The budget estimates were submitted on 12-05-2023	1	
5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	LLG procurement plan submission letters was not obtained	0	
6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	The LLG prioritized investments comply to the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines investment menu.	2	
C. Own	C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration				
7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	The LLG collections were between 29% which does not lie between +/-10%	0	
	Maximum score is 1				

8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year. Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	LLG collections increased by 486% which is more than 5% increament	1	
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	The LLG remitted OSR to the administrative units evidenced by payment vouchers	1	
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	The LLG spent 60% on councilors allowances which is above 20%	0	
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	The LLG spent at least 5% on operational and maintenance of the compound	1	
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	The LLG publicized OSR usage on notice boards	1	
D. Financial Management					
10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	The LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on 30th June 2023 under the mother subcounty.	4	

1

1

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Maximum score is 6

The LLG has submitted Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

Hard copy of the quarterly reports, were obtained

i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0

11

all 4 quarterly
financial and physical
progress reports
including finances for
the Parish
Development Model
(PDM), for the
previous FY on time
and in the prescribed
format

The LLG has submitted Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

Hard copy of the quarterly reports, were obtained

ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 6

11

all 4 quarterly
financial and physical
progress reports
including finances for
the Parish
Development Model
(PDM), for the
previous FY on time
and in the prescribed
format

The LLG has submitted Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0

Hard copy of the quarterly reports, were obtained

Maximum score is 6

11

all 4 quarterly
financial and physical
progress reports
including finances for
the Parish
Development Model
(PDM), for the
previous FY on time
and in the prescribed
format

The LLG has submitted Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0

Hard copy of the quarterly reports, were obtained

Maximum score is 6

E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery						
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	Appraisal report of parish chief Mugambagye Abnel	2		
	Maximum score is 6	(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	(on probation) dated 26/08/22, obtained, Tumwesigye Francis a parish chief dated 25/6/2023 was obtained.			
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	No appraisal reports obtained	0		
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0				
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:	No health Centre in the LLG	2		
	Maximum score is 6	(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else				
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	The list of staff members were	3		
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	publicized			
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	No staff analysis reports produced	0		
	Maximum score is 6	(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0				
F. Impl	F. Implementation and Execution					
14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	The LLG investments (Office block) was on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG LLG investment menu.	2		
	Maximum score is 2					

The LLG spent the funds as per budget

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the execution of budget in the funds as per budget previous FY does not deviate for any of the deviate more than sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: +/-10 % from the Score 2

Score 2

Score 2

Score 2

Score 3

Score 4

FVID Sector Ceilings and programs as per final accounts of Mother LLG (Kanyabwanga)

Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as completion was not per work plan by end of FY (quarter four):

Certificate of available

0

0

1

1

0

If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3

If 70% -90%: Score 2

If less than 70 %: Score 0.

G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

during the previous FY

Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0

Environmental and social screening forms not available

The LLG has an Handling System

Maximum score is 2

(i) If the LLG has specified a system for Operational Grievance recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0

Grieviance committee and logbook was available

18 The LLG has an

Handling System

Maximum score is 2

Operational Grievance (ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0

Drievance committee was publicized on noticeboard

19

18

The LLG has a functional land management system

Maximum score 1

If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0

Appointment letters for the members of Area Land Committee were not available

H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20 and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY

Maximum score is 3

Awareness campaigns Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0

Reports on awareness campaigns and parents' mobilization for improvement of education services dated 11/7/2023 was available

21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY: If all schools (100%) - score 4 If 80 - 99% - score 2 If 60 to 79% score 1	A Report on monitoring of education services dated 11/7/2023 was available	2
		Below 60% score 0		
22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school	Minutes of SMCs were available.	3
	Maximum score is 3			
I. Prima 23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	Minutes on awareness campaigns and community mobilization for improvement of primary health care	3
			dated 19/4/2023	
24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY Maximum score is 4	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	A report on monitoring of aspects of health service delivery was not available	0
25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	No health centre in the LLG	3

J. Water & Environment Services Management

2	26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	NO Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO	0
-	27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	No Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services	0
	28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	No evidence that the LLG has functional Water and Sanitation Committees	0
2	29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	No Updated reports submitted showing the water and sanitation status of the Sub County for all facilities	0
ı	K. Urba	n Planning and Manag	gement (Applicable to Town Councils and E	Divisions only)	
	30		(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0		
V. 1	30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:		
			20% in 2022/23		
			200/ in 2022/24		

30% in 2023/24

40% in 2024/25

physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

Implementation of the (i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31 physical planning and building control measures as per quidelines

Implementation of the (ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31 physical planning and building control measures as per quidelines

Implementation of the (iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

32 The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan

(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

32 The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan

(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

33

(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0

34

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

Maximum score is 3

(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0

33 Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

L. Production Services Management

Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff have collected. analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

The production statistics were avaiable dated 2/3/2023 assessed within the reports of kanyabwanga sub county its mother sub county

35

mobilization through farmer field days and awareness meetings

Maximum score is 2

Farmer awareness and If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of campaigns carried out agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Awareness report was available dated 15/5/2023

No distribution of agricultural materials was done because of **PDM**

36

The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

No reports obtained

0

2

2

37

Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

Training reports and attendances were not in place

The LLG has provided hands-on extension groups

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer support to farmers and groups on crop management, aquaculture, farmer organizations / animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Field reports were available dated 10/4/2023 and 4/7/2022.

Farmer visits dated 4/1/2023, 6/1/2023, 10/1/2023 and 12/1/2023 combined with reports of its mother sub county Kanyabwanga