

# **LLG Performance Assessment**

LLG Name: Rurehe Subcounty

Mitooma District

(Vote Code: 893)

Assessment Scores

LLG Performance Assessment 61%

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
A. Funct	tionality of Parish Adn	ninistrative Structures		
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	All the requirements are available	2
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	No parish data was available	0
	Maximum score is 2			
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	Raising the village was involved in awareness on PDM and mapping reports were available	2
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:  ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0	AWP and budget and approved programmes were available	2

3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:  iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0	Priority enterprises were available and captured in AWP and budget	2
B. Plann	ing and Budgeting			
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:  i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0	Council minutes, AWP, budget and approved development plan III were available and shows that the AWP is consistent with the development plan III	1
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:  ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.	Ranked priorities from parish submissions were available and incorporated in the AWP and budget evidenced by parish meeting minutes	1
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:  iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0	Budget conference was not held due to limited funds	0
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines	iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0	The LLG budget included investments to be financed by the LLG and other funding sources	1

Maximum score is 6

4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines	v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0	Capital projects were identified and project profiles prepared for the current FY following the prescribed format	1
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0	The LLG submitted the budget to the planner before 15th May 2022	1
5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	PDU files and procurement plans were not available	0
6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	The LLG budget and DDEG guidelines were available for the current FY	2
C. Own S	Source Revenue Mobil	ization and Administration		
7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within $\pm$ 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	The LLG budget for the previous year and AFS were available	1
	Maximum score is 1			
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.  Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	OSR collected was increased evidenced by the AFS for 2020/21 and 2021/22	1
	· <del>-</del>			

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	AFS, transfer vouchers, AWP were available and showed that the LLG remitted mandatory share of the OSR to the LG and administrative units	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	The LLG spent more than 20% of its OSR on councilors allowances	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	The LLG budgeted and used 5% OSR funds on operational and maintenance	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG:  iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	the OSR was publicized on the notice board	1
D. Finar	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time  Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	The AFS was submitted and acknowledged on 31st Aug. 2022	4
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format  Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:  i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	Q1 was not submitted on the stipulated date	0

0

0

all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

The LLG has submitted Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

Q2 was not submitted on the stipulated date

ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 6

11

all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

The LLG has submitted Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

O3 was not submitted on the stipulated date

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 6

11

all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Maximum score is 6

The LLG has submitted Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0

Q4 was not submitted on the stipulated date

#### E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12

the LLG in the previous FY

Maximum score is 6

Appraisal of all staff in Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:

> (i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0

Staff appraisal reports and personnel files for the previous year were available

2

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	The primary head teachers were appraised by the SAS by 31st Dec. 2021	2
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:  (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	Incahrges for HCs were not appraised by the SAS	0
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	Stafflist was publicized on the notice board and staff attendance register was available	3
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has  (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	Monthly analysis on staff attendance register was not done	0
F. Imple	mentation and Execut	tion		
14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant,	LLG Budget, LLG Annual Financial Statement, DDEG	2
	the previous FY on eligible projects/activities Maximum score is 2	budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	grant Budget and implementation guidelines for LLGs and Investment inventory report (form G) were available	
15	eligible projects/activities	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%:	grant Budget and implementation guidelines for LLGs and Investment inventory report (form G) were available  AFS for previous FY and Payment	2

## G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	No environmental and social screening report was available	0
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	No Grievance Handling system was available	0
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	No Grievance Handling system was available	0
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	Area land committee appointment letters and minutes were not available	0
H. Basic	(Pre & Primary) Educ	ation services Management (in public and p	rivate schools)	
20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	No awareness report on education services were available	0
	Maximum score is 3			
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:	Monitrored 70% of the schools and reports were available	2
		If all schools (100%) - score 4		
		If 80 – 99% – score 2		
		If 60 to 79% score 1		
		Below 60% score 0		

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	SMC minutes were available and their action plans	3
	Maximum score is 3			
	y Health Care Service	s Management		
23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	Awareness reports on PHC were not available	0
	Maximum score is 3			
24	The LLG monitored health service delivery	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score	Health monitroing reports and humic minutes were	4
	at least twice during the previous FY	4 or else score 0	available	
	Maximum score is 4			
25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	Humic composiition and their minutes were available	3
	Maximum score is 3			
J. Water	& Environment Service	ces Management	No requests were	0
20	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	No requests were sent to DWO for considerations	U
	Maximum score is 3			
27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	No monitoring reports on water and environment were available	0
	Maximum score is 3			

Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0

Water and sanitation committees were available and their minutes

2

2

Maximum score is 2

29

Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities

Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else

Updted reports on water and sanitation facilities were available

Maximum score is 2

#### K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30

Development of the

Maximum score 2

(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Physical Development Committee in place that: (i) is properly and Plans as per guidelines fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0

30

Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines

Maximum score 2

(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:

20% in 2022/23

30% in 2023/24

40% in 2024/25

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

Maximum score 3

(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines  Maximum score 3	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan Maximum score 2	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure Maximum score is 3	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure Maximum score is 3	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0

(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0  $\,$ 

## L. Production Services Management

Maximum score is 3

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

33

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Production statistics report was available	2
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Awareness reports, Distribution lists and Attendance lists were available	2
36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Monitoring reports ans supervision reports were available	2
37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Training reports and Attendance sheets were available	2
38	The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups  Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Filed reports and farmer visits were also available	2