

LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Name: Rurehe Subcounty

Mitooma District

(Vote Code: 893)

Assessment Scores

LLG Performance Assessment 64%

Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.

3

else score 0.

Maximum score is 2

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG:

i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0

the list of mapped NGOs, CBOs were in existance and with the planned activities to be Implemented

2

- each parish in the LLG were available for Ryengyerero
- Ryengyerero Parish dated Parish dated 30th-06-2023,
- submitted for the revolving

Parish data for the previous

2

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies of the parish

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance approved parish priorities and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the for the development current FY score 2, else score 0

were available for all parishes including the minutes at Parish level providing guidance on budgeting to Village **Executive Committees** minutes dated 14th -2-2023, 21th-2-2022,,13th-2-2023 for rutooma, rurehe south, ryengyerero respectively

3

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development 0 of the parish

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance Priority enterprises lists and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else

were available and with the linkage in the Annual workplan

B. Planning and Budgeting

4

The LLG conducted **Annual Planning** and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and **Budgeting** Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current

i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0

consistent with the LLG approved development plan III and workplan was evidenced on page 33 for LLG approved development plan III and page Annual Work plan 10 which is grading of roads

Budget was approved in council under min 30 council minutes dated 18th-5-2023

4

The LLG conducted **Annual Planning** and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current

ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.

Ranked priorities from all parishes were available

from Rutooma parish priories: installation of water tank at Butembe P/S page 5 in the Annual budget estimates

1

4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY: iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0	The sub county did not hold budget conference	0
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0	The investment to be financed by evidenced in page on page 3 ceiling the sub county offices	1
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0	project profile was available for installation of water tank source of funding DDEG	1
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6	vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0	LLG submitted the AWPB on 12th-5-2023 which is before 15th -05-2023	1
5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	LLG submitted the procurement plan on 18th-04-2023which is below 30th April	2

	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	Investment comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines which installation of water tank at butembe P/S page 9 in Annual Workplan 2023/24 FY	-
C. Own S	Source Revenue Mo	bilization and Administration		
7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	no Annual Financial statements	0
	Maximum score is 1			
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	no Annual Financial statements	0
	Maximum score 1			
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	The sub county remitted OSR to the administrative units evidenced by payment voucher 22/12	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	No Annual Financial Statement for the previous FY	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	The LLG used local revenue on renovation of Sub county offices page 5 of Budget estimates 2022/23FY	1

ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0

for the Parish

and in the

Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time

prescribed format

Maximum score is 6

11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format Maximum score is 6 The LLG has	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0 Evidence that the LLG submitted all four	Reports were not submitted Reports were not submitted	0
E. Huma	submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format Maximum score is 6	quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0	Reports were not submitted	
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: (i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	All one staff was appraised on16th-08-2023	0
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	Primary School Head teachers were appraised but beyond 31st - December	0

12 Appraisal of all staff Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk in the LLG in the appraised staff in the LLG:

previous FY

(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous Maximum score is 6 FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else

0

HC III & II In-charges were not appraised

Staff duty attendance (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0 F. Implementation and Execution The LLG has spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation and spent all the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0 The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2 Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2 The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2 Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2 Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget in livestments as per annual work plan and budget in from the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY Rwanja P/S voucher no. 13/6 Maximum score is 3 If more than 90 % was completed: Score Certificate of completion was available If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	The list of staff were publicized	3
The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation projects/activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0 The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2 Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2 Completion of investments as per annual work plan annual work plan and budget Maximum score is 3 If more than 90 % was completed: Score Certificate of completion was available If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	13	attendance	(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to		3
The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2 Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2 Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget Maximum score is 3 Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four): Maximum score is 3 If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If more than 90 % was completed: Score Certificate of completion was available If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	-	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation	DDEG and used 7,434,000= on installation of tank at Rwania P/S	2
Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget Maximum score is 3 If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	15	funds as per budget	the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more	No AFS for previous FY	0
	16	investments as per annual work plan and budget	planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four): If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2	installation of tank at Rwanja P/S voucher no. 13/6 Certificate of completion	3

The LLG has Evidence that the LLG carried out The Environmental and implemented environmental, social and climate Social Screening (E&S) was environmental and change screening where required, prior social safeguards to implementation of all planned during the previous investments/ projects, score 2 or else FY score 0

Maximum score is 2

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	Formal description of the Grievance Handling system composed complaints log book available grievance committe displied	1
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	publicized the grievance redress mechanisms publicized	1
19	The LLG has a functional land management system Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	Appointment letters for the members of Area Land Committee were available	1
H. Basic	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	ucation services Management (in publ Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	ic and private schools) No Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization	0
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY: If all schools (100%) - score 4	Monitoring reports on schools were available one dated 3/8/2022 and another one 24/5/2022	4

If 80 - 99% - score 2

If 60 to 79% score 1

Below 60% score 0

J. Water & Environment Services Management

Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets

Maximum score is 3

26

Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0

Requests from the LLGs were available submitted on 5th/8/2022

2

The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score

water and environment monitoring reports available dated 07th -10-2022

Maximum score is 3

28

Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees

Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0

List of composition of Water and Sanitation Committees were available

Minutes of meetings were not available,

Maximum score is 2

29

Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities

Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0

Monitoring/progress reports were available dated 26th-4-2022

Maximum score is 2

K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30

Development of the (i) If the LLG has a functional Physical **Physical Development Plans** as per guidelines

Maximum score 2

Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/application for development permission on time: and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0

30

Physical Development Plans as per guidelines

Development of the (i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:

Maximum score 2

20% in 2022/23

30% in 2023/24

40% in 2024/25

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

32

The LLG has developed and plan

(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved implemented a solid solid waste management plan during the waste management previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

32

The LLG has developed and waste management else 0 plan

(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid implemented a solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or

Maximum score 2

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M

score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

L. Production Services Management

34

Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported

analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, Maximum score is 2 farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

If the LLG extension staff have collected, Production statistics report were obtained

35

Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings

If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Awareness reports were obtained

Maximum score is 2

36

out monitoring activities on for crops, animals and fisheries

The LLG has carried If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal production activities and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, Maximum score is 2 equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

- Monitoring reports were obtained
- Supervision reports were obtained

2

2

If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, farmer field schools agronomy, pests and diseases and demonstrations management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field Maximum score is 2 training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2

Farm visit reports were obtained for Mujunya Enock dated 6-4-2022

groups

The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations /

Maximum score is 2 score 2 or else 0

If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office

or else 0.

Field reports, Filled agricultural extension diaries, Farmer visits were obtained

2