

LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Name: Rwoburunga

Mitooma District

(Vote Code: 893)

Assessment Scores

LLG Performance Assessment 64%

273654
Rwoburunga

LLG Performance Assessment

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
	onality of Parish Adı	ministrative Structures		
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition	PDM guidelines were available	2
	PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	PDCs and minutes were available dated 12/12/2022 and 25/4/2023 for Rwoburunga parish, 18/4/2023 for Kagati,8/12/2022 for Ndurumo, 6/10/2022 for Keirabwa and 17/4/2023 for Keirabwa pirish.	
			List of proposals submited for PRF included those dated 19/4/2023 for Kagati, 10/5/2023 for Keirabwa, and 4/5/2023 for Rwoburunga parish	
			Reports for field mobilisation were also available dated 5/4/2023	
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	Parish data was available dated 25/8/2022	2
	Maximum score is 2			
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	The LLG has IIRR and Raising the Village and the mapping report was available dated 12/3/2023	2
	MAXIMUM SCORE IS U			

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies of the parish

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance The LLG provided and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the for the development current FY score 2, else score 0

guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs evidenced by approved programmes, AWP and Budget like purchase of furniture for Ndurumo p/s that is on page 7 of the AWP and page 6 of the budget.

3

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development else 0 of the parish

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance implemented were and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or Lists of priorities to be available

2

B. Planning and Budgeting

4

The LLG conducted Budgeting exercise for the current FY as FY: per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Evidence that prioritized investments in Annual Planning and the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current

> i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0

Planning and budgeting guidelines were available. The DPIII was also avialable

1

1

Maximum score is 6

4

The LLG conducted Budgeting exercise for the current FY as FY: per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Evidence that prioritized investments in Annual Planning and the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current

ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and Maximum score is 6 PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.

The ranked priorities from all parish submissions were incorporated in the AWPB evidenced by the ranked priorities (minutes of planning meetings dated 20/2/2023 and 23/9/2022), the AWP and budget.

4

The LLG conducted Budgeting exercise for the current FY as FY: per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Evidence that prioritized investments in Annual Planning and the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current

> iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0

Budget conference was not held due to funds constraints.

The AWP was approved by council on 4/4/2023 and budget on 23/5/2023

Maximum score is 6

1

4	The LLG conducted iv. That the LLG budget include Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise and Budgeting Guidelines Iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG financed by the LLG budget doesnt include investments to be financed by the LLG due to low collections of local revenue	0
4	The LLG conducted v. Evidence that the LLG developed Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning score 0 and Budgeting Guidelines No project planned No project planned No project planned Planning project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else Score 0 Annual Planning investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else Score 0 Annual Planning investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else Score 0 Annual Planning investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else Score 0 Annual Planning investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else Score 0 Annual Planning investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else Score 0 Annual Planning investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else Score 0 Annual Planning investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else Score 0	0
4	The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Annual Planning and Budgeting Guidelines The submission date for the budget and AWP was 28/4/2023 May: score 1 or else 0 The submission date for the budget and AWP was 28/4/2023 May: score 1 or else 0	1
5	Procurement Evidence that the LLG prepared and planning for the current FY: plan for all the procurements to be done submission of request for procurement FY: Score 2 or else score 0 No procurment plan was submitted submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	0
6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG approved LLG Budget for the current FY investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2 DDEG guidelines were abvailable and the budget for the current FY for the current FY Substituting approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	2

C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization) Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	The AFS was not available	0
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year. Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	There were not AFSs for the previous year and previous year but one	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	The LLG didnot remit OSR to administrative units	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	The LLG spent more than 20% of OSR on councilors allowances	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	The LLG budgeted and used atleast 5% of OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY on maintenace of compound	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	OSR was not publicized	0

D. Financial Management

0

0

1

The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the

Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by previous FY on time August 31), score 4 or else score 0

Maximum score is 4

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish **Development Model** (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0

Q1 was submitted after by 15th October 2022

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish **Development Model** (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0

Q2 was submitted to the planner on 12/1/2023

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish **Development Model** (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0

Q3 was submited after the deadline

0

11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0	Q4 was submitted to the planner on 27/7/2023	3
E. Humai	_	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: (i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY were appraised late	0
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	appraised staff in the LLG: (ii) Primary School Head teachers in	Headteachers were appraised in time like the one for Nyamutamba p/s who was apprasied on 3/10/22 and that one of Ndurumo P/s appraised on 30/9/2022	2
12	in the LLG in the previous FY	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	Incharges are appraised by DHOs not SAS	2
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	The LLG stafflist was on the noticeboard. Staff attendance register was available	3
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to	Monthly analysis of attendace was not done	0

F. Implementation and Execution

Maximum score is 6 attendance with recommendations to

CAO/TC score 3 or else 0

recording, investigating and responding

response to feed-back, complaints log

reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices

to grievances, which includes a

book with clear information and

score 1 or else 0

designated a person to coordinate

logbook were not

available

Operational

System

Grievance Handling

Maximum score is 2

I. Primary Health Care Services Management

Maximum score is 3

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	Reports on awareness campaigns and community mobilization for improvement of primary health care were available dated 3/3/2023, 27/2/2023, and 25/2/2023	3
24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY Maximum score is 4	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	Monitoring reports and HUMC minutes were available	4
25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	Overview of composition Health Unit Management Committee was available and minutes dated 28/6/2023, 30/3/2023 and 20/12/2022	3
J. Water &	& Environment Servi	ices Management		
26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	Requests from the LLGs for consideration in the current FY not available	0
	Maximum score is 3			
27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	Reports on water and environment monitoring/supervision reports were not available	0
	Maximum score is 3			
28	Existence and functionality of	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees	Overview of composition of Water and Sanitation	0

Functionality of investments in facilities

Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0

Monitoring/progress reports were available dated 25/7/2023 and 29/6/2023

Maximum score is 2

K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30

Physical Development Plans as per guidelines

Maximum score 2

Development of the (i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0

30

Development of the **Physical Development Plans** as per guidelines

(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:

Maximum score 2

20% in 2022/23

30% in 2023/24

40% in 2024/25

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

32

The LLG has developed and plan

(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved implemented a solid solid waste management plan during the waste management previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

32

The LLG has developed and waste management else 0 plan

(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid implemented a solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or

Maximum score 2

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M

score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 3

L. Production Services Management

34	1	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Production statsitics reports availabel dated 31/5/2023 and 30/12/2022	2
35	5	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Awareness reports on agriculture were avaialble dated 30/8/2022, 30/12/2022 and 30/11/2022	2
36	5	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Monitoring report available dated 30/3/2023	2
37	7	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	Training reports were available dated 30/3/2023 and 6/11/2022 and attendace sheets dated 6/11/2022 and 21/11/2022	2
38	3	The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office	Farm reports and farm visits available dated 12/10/2022, 14/12/2022, 15/12/2022 and 14/10/2022	2

score 2 or else 0